ITN MALANG
Smart & Intelligent

Yudi Limpraptono <fyudil@lecturer.itn.ac.id>

【TF2-028】Preliminary review feedback from ICCEE 2019
1 message

iccee@academic.net <iccee@academic.net>   Sat, Aug 24, 2019 at 5:01 AM
To: fyudil <fyudil@lecturer.itn.ac.id>

Dear F Yudi Limpraptono

Greetings from ICCEE 2019.
Thanks so much for your submission.

Here I'm mailing to inform you that your paper **TF2-028** titled with "Development Architechture of Remote Laboratory as

Learning Solution in Industrial Revolution 4.0 Era" has passed the first round review, according to the preliminary comments, your paper is approved on the topic by the conference proceedings, and at the same time, the journal JIII shows interest in your paper. So we would love to know your decision about in which way you want your paper published.

Option 1,
Conference Proceedings--Journal of Physics: Conference Series (doi:10.1088/issn.1742-6596 ; Online ISSN: 1742-6596 / Print ISSN: 1742-6588)
Option 2,
JIII-Journal of Industrial and Intelligent Information

ISSN: 2301-3745

DOI: 10.18178/jiii

Abstracting/Indexing: EI(INSPEC, IET), Google Scholar, Crossref, Engineering & Technology Digital Library and etc.

Web:http://www.jiii.org/

USD100 discount in registration fee would be offered if you choose the journal publication.

Please kindly and carefully read the information above and guide my further doing.
And the paper has entered into the next review process automatically already, without receiving your reply upon this matter, we will send the notification for proceeding publication only by default by **Aug 25th.**

Have a nice day!

Thanks & regards,

Angela Hu

Conference Secretary │ iccee@academic.net

ICCEE 2019 │ TU Delft, Netherlands │ November 6-8, 2019

Web: www.iccee.org

Our working time is from 10:00 to 18:00 (UTC+08:00) Monday through Friday !

Review Form of ICCEE 2019

www.iccee.org

Paper ID: 028

Paper Title: Development Architechture of Remote Laboratory as Learning Solution in Industrial Revolution 4.0 Era

Evaluation(X Where Appropriate)

	Exceptional	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor
Relevance to the Conference			X		
Originality		X			
Applicability		X			
Innovation			X		
Technical Merit				X	
Presentation of the Work					X

Recommendation to Editors(Highlight your Recommendation)

Publish Unaltered

Publish in Minor, Required Changes

Review Again After Major Changes

Reject (A Major Rewrite Is Required; Encourage Resubmission)

Reject (Paper is Seriously Flawed; Do Not Encourage Resubmission.)

Comments and suggestions (Your comments are very important to us and it would be much appreciated to give no less than 50 words) :

* Which one is correct? Learning Management System in LSM or LMS? Please be consistent with the use of abbreviations. Mainly related to important variable abbreviations.

* The description of background and problems in the abstract are still too long. Use short, concise and precise sentence choices.

* Please add architectural diagrams / figure from the LMS used. So the readers come to be understand about the workings of the LMS.

* Each figure that displays the architecture have to be given an explanation or how the architecture works. For examples in figures 2 and 3.

* This research has not shown the results of testing about the integration of the three variables carried out. The testing is

important to do, so the researchers know the results / performance of the system being built.

* This research takes the title of the development of remote laboratory architecture, but there is no comparison about the results of the development carried out. Comparisons can be made in the form of tables / diagrams / graphs that display positive results of architectural developments conducted.

* There is no reference about why combining Remote laboratory with LMS are urgent. The explanation of the problem is still limited to the opinions of researchers. If there is a reference to the urgency it will be better.

* Add some more primary references from international journals with total amount at least 10 in the last 5 years.

* Inaccurate conclusion. Does not describe the results of the study, and there is still an "expected" word that seems the author have not carried out the research yet.

Change the format into JIII template before the publication