

Proceedings

**2018 IEEE 27th International
Symposium on Industrial Electronics
(ISIE)**

Cairns Convention Centre
Cairns, Australia
13 - 15 June, 2018

Sponsored by

The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE)
IEEE Industrial Electronics Society (IES)

Copyright and Reprint Permission: Abstracting is permitted with credit to the source. Libraries are permitted to photocopy beyond the limit of U.S. copyright law for private use of patrons those articles in this volume that carry a code at the bottom of the first page, provided the per-copy fee indicated in the code is paid through Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. For other copying, reprint or republication permission, write to IEEE Copyrights Manager, IEEE Operations Center, 445 Hoes Lane, Piscataway, NJ 08854. All rights reserved. Copyright ©2018 by IEEE.

IEEE Catalog Number: CFP18ISI-ART

ISBN: 978-1-5386-3705-0

ISSN: 2163-5145

Welcome to the 27th IEEE International Symposium on Industrial Electronics (ISIE 2018)

We are very happy to welcome you to the 27th International Symposium on Industrial Electronics (ISIE), held in Cairns, Australia, between 13-15 June 2018. It is a great opportunity to get together and share ideas and knowledge among the Industry experts, researchers and academics. Several current and upcoming frontier technologies, innovative solutions, research results, as well as enterprises related to industrial electronics and their applications will be presented. Several well-known academic and industrial experts will deliver the plenary and keynote talks.

ISIE 2018 offers you a conference with wonderful experience in a well sought after area, the Great Barrier Reef and Tropical Rainforests in the Cairns region. Several pre and post conference tour options will also be scheduled.

We hope 2018 ISIE will be remarkable and an unforgettable event.

Yours sincerely,

Organizing Committees – IEEE IEIS 2018

The 27th International Symposium on Industrial Electronics (ISIE 2018)

Organizing Committees

Honorary Chairs

Ren Luo, National Taiwan University (NTU), Taiwan
Kouhei Ohnishi, Keio University, Japan
Kamal Al-Haddad, École de Technologie Supérieure Montreal, Canada

General Chair

Qing-Long Han, Swinburne University of Technology, Australia

General Co-Chairs

Xinghuo Yu, Royal Melbourne Institute of Technology (RMIT), Australia
Mohan Jacob, James Cook University, Australia

Program Chair

Yousef Ibrahim, Federation University, Australia

Technical Program Co-Chairs

Eric Monmasson, University of Cergy - Pontoise, France
Antonio Luque, University of Seville, Spain
Huijun Gao, Harbin Institute of Technology, China

Special Sessions Co-Chairs

Mo-Yuen Chow, North Carolina State University, USA
Yang Shi, University of Victoria, Canada
Maria Valla, National University of La Plata, Argentina
Marcian Cirstea, Anglia Ruskin University, United Kingdom
Hadi Kanaan, Saint-Joseph University of Beirut, Lebanon

Publication Committee

Andrés Nogueiras, University of Vigo, Spain
Mohan Jacob, James Cook University, Australia
Daswin de Silva, La Trobe University, Australia
Qing-Long Han, Swinburne University of Technology, Australia

Student & Young Professionals (S&YP) Forum Co-Chairs

Marek Jasinski, Warsaw University of Technology, Poland
Samir Kouro, Technical University Federico Santa Maria, Chile
Dimitri Vinnikov, Tallin University of Technology, Estonia

Technical Tracks Co-Chairs

Power Systems and Smart Grids

Pierluigi Siano, University of Salerno, Italy
Stanimir Valtchev, New University of Lisbon, Portugal
Tapan Saha, University of Queensland, Australia
Peter Palensky, Delft University of Technology, The Netherlands

Electrical Machines and Industrial Drives

Franck Betin, University of Picardie "Jules Verne", France
Leila Parsa, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, United States
Andrea Cavagnino, Politecnico di Torino, Italy
Jianguo Zhu, University of Technology, Sydney (UTS), Australia

Control Systems and Applications

Huijun Gao, Harbin Institute of Technology, China
Xinkai Chen, Shibaura Institute of Technology, Japan
Yang Shi, University of Victoria, Canada
Zhenwei Cao, Swinburne University of Technology, Australia
Yu-Long Wang, Jiangsu University of Science and Technology, China

Power Electronics and Energy Conversion

Seddik Bacha, Grenoble University, France
Chandan Chakraborty, Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur, India
Dong Yue, Nanjing University of Posts and Telecommunications, China
Haitham Abu-Rub, Texas A&M University at Qatar, Qatar
Firuz Zare, University of Queensland, Australia

Renewable Electric Energy Conversion, Processing and Storage

Carlo Cecati, University of L'Aquila, Italy
Fuwen Yang, Griffith University, Australia
Minrui Fei, Shanghai University, China

Mechatronics and Robotics

Kiyoshi Ohishi, Nagaoka University of Technology, Japan
Peter Korondi, Budapest University of Technology and Economics (BUTE), Hungary
Toshiyuki Murakami, Keio University, Japan
Kang-Hyun Jo, University of Ulsan, South Korea

Distributed and Networked Control Systems

Xian-Ming Zhang, Swinburne University of Technology, Australia
Baolin Zhang, China Jiliang University, China
Shen Yin, Harbin Institute of Technology, China
Chen Peng, Shanghai University, China

Industrial Informatics and Cloud Computing

Kim Fung Tsang, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong
Paulo Leitao, Polytechnic Institute of Bragança, Portugal
Thomas Strasser, Austrian Institute of Technology (AIT), Austria
Eric Monmasson, University of Cergy - Pontoise, France
Elizabeth Chang, University of New South Wales (UNSW), Australia

Factory Automation, Cyber-Physical Systems and Internet of Things (IoT)

Thilo Sauter, Danube University Krems, Austria
Armando Walter Colombo, University of Applied Science Emden Leeds, Germany
Lucia Lo Bello, University of Catania, Italy
Gour karmakar, Federation University Australia, Australia
Wei Xiang, University of Southern Queensland, Australia

Signal Processing and Artificial Intelligence

Kang-Hyun Jo, University of Ulsan, South Korea
Shyh Wei Teng, Federation University, Australia
Xiaohua Ge, Swinburne University of Technology, Australia
Yu-Chu Tian, Queensland University of Technology (QUT), Australia
Wangli He, East China University of Science and Technology, China

Sensors, Actuators Micro-/Nanotechnology and Graphene

Yasutaka Fujimoto, Yokohama National University, Japan
Antonio Luque, University of Seville, Spain
Aleksander Malinowski, Bradley University, United States

Automotive Technology

Jan Haase, University of Luebeck, Germany
Victor Huang, United States
Joem Ploennigs, IBM Research, Ireland

Systems Reliability, Conditions Monitoring and Fault Diagnosis

Makota Iwasaki, Nagoya Institute of Technology, Japan
Steve Wilcox, Federation University, Australia

Engineering Education

Andreja Rojko, European Centre for Power Electronics, Germany
Yen-Shin Lai, National Taipei University of Technology, Taiwan
Oscar Lucía, University of Zaragoza, Spain

Marine Electronics

Mal Heron, James Cook University, Australia
Melanie Olsen, Australian Institute of Marine Science (AIMS), Australia

Special Sessions Co-Chairs

Advances in Data-Driven Process Monitoring and Control for Complex Industrial Systems

Hao Luo, Harbin Institute of Technology, China
Zhiwen Chen, Central South University, China
Okyay Kaynak, Bogaziçi University, Turkey

Network-Based Control, Filtering and Their Applications

Yu-Long Wang, Jiangsu University of Science and Technology, China
Chen Peng, Shanghai University, China

Power Electronics Applied to Electric Vehicles

Óscar Lucía, University of Zaragoza, Spain
Kazuhiro Umetani, Okayama University, Japan
Hugo Sarnago, University of Zaragoza, Spain

Motion Control for Advanced Mechatronics

Yasutaka Fujimoto, Yokohama National University, Japan
Hiroshi Fujimoto, The University of Tokyo, Japan
Sehoon Oh, Daegu Gyeongbuk Institute of Science and Technology (DGIST), South Korea

New Developments for Human Factors Engineering

Jinhua She, Tokyo University of Technology, Japan
Sho Yokota, Toyo University, Japan
Daisuke Chugo, Kwansai Gakuin University, Japan

Modelling and Control in Smart Grids

Chaojie Li, Royal Melbourne Institute of Technology (RMIT), Australia
Guo Chen, University of Newcastle, Australia
Yushu Zhang, Deakin University, Australia

Advanced Modelling and Optimization Methods for Power Converters and Systems

Zhen Li, Beijing Institute of Technology, China
Gouen Cao, Institute Of Electrical Engineering, China
Hong Li, Beijing Jiaotong University, China

Smart Automation, Control and ICT Concepts Applied to Power and Energy Systems

Thomas Strasser, Austrian Institute of Technology (AIT), Austria
Paulo Leitao, Polytechnic Institute of Bragança, Portugal
Marco Cupelli, RWTH Aachen University, Germany
Sebastian Rohjans, Hamburg University of Applied Science, Germany
Filip Pröbstl Andrén, Austrian Institute of Technology (AIT), Austria

Advanced Energy Management for Microgrids and Embedded Systems

Brayima Dakyo, University of Le Havre, France

Manuela Sechilariu, University of Technology of Compegne, France

Kodjo Agbossou, Université du Québec à Trois-Rivières, Canada

Condition Monitoring and Edge Computing Services for Industrial Systems

Lei Shu, University of Lincoln, United Kingdom

Yu Zhang, University of Lincoln, United Kingdom

Zhangbing Zhou, China University of Geosciences, China

Consumer Wireless Technology for Industrial Applications

Adnan Abu-Mahfouz, Council for Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), South Africa

Lei Shu, University of Lincoln, United Kingdom

Gerhard P. Hancke, University of Pretoria, South Africa

Technical Program Reviewers

Abdelkrim Benchaib
Abelardo Martínez-Iturbe
Adriano Ferreira
Ahmad Abuais
Ahmed Majed Saif
Ahmed Zama
Aimin Yan
Ajmal Shahbaz
A. J. Onumanyi
Albert Jiao
Alberto Bertinato
Alexander Filonenko
Alexandros G. Paspatis
Allen Chen
Andreja Rojko
Andres Ovalle
Angela Ferreira
Angel Tsang
Anh-Vu Ho
Antoni Grau
Antonio Luque Estepa
Anuj Kumar
Arcadio Perilla
Armando Colombo
Arun Joseph
Ashraf Sheri
Ashraf Uddin Russo
Aurelian Craciunescu
Ayman Abdel-Khalik
Babak Fahimi
Baolin Zhang
Bei Wang
Bence Kovacs
Beom Seok
Beom Seok Kim
Beomsuk Kim
Bertrand Raison
Bingyi Zhang
Bo Cai
Bochao Zheng
Boda Ning
Bo Wei
Brayima Dakyo
Bruno Lefebvre
Bruno Silva
Candy Tsang
Carlo Cecati
Cesar Veiga
Chandan Chakraborty
Chan Lee
Huazhou Hou
Hubert Razik
Hugo Sarnago
Huifen Hong
Huijun Gao
Hui Ma
Humberto Henao
Hung Faan Hei
Hyunjin Choi
Ilya Galkin
Ilya Tyuryukanov
Imad Mougharbel
Jaco Marais
James H. Christensen
Jan Haase
János Botzheim
Javier Vázquez
Jean-Francois Mogniotte
Jean-Paul Gaubert
Jean Paul Ferrieux
Jiang Long
Jia Wang
Jinhua She
Jinjin Guo
Jinna Li
Joao Monteiro
Jombo Gbanaibolou
Jonny Dambrowski
Jose Paulo Cunha
José Barbosa
Jose Maneiro
José Paulo V. S. Cunha
J. P. Liu
Juan Carlos Gonzales
Juan Paez
Juan Zhao
Jung-Ping Liu
Kai Song
Kamal Al Ahdad
Kamran Qaderi
Kang-Hyun Jo
Kazeem B. Adedeji
Kazeem Bolade Adedeji
Kazuaki Ito
Kazuhiro Umetani
Kazuyoshi Ishida
Keisuke Kusaka
Kenji Natori
Kenta Seki
Kevin Hung
Qing Tang
Qi Sun
Radu Bojoi
Rajeev Kanth
Reza Abrishambaf
Ricardo Aguilera
Ricardo Vidal-Albalade
Rishabh Bhandia
Roberto Romano
Robin Roche
Ruixia Liu
Ryogo Kubo
Saban Ozdemir
Sandro Rubino
Saptarshi Basak
Saravanan Vasudevan
Satoshi Suzuki
Sébastien Carrière
Seddik Bacha
Sehoon Oh
Seiichiro Katsura
Serge Poullain
Sergio Lucia
Sertac Bayhan
Seyed Morteza Saghaian Nejad
Shafiq Odhano
Shahin Hedayati Kia
Shengrong Zhuo
Sho Sakaino
Sho Yokota
Shuangxia Niu
Shuming Jiao
Silvio Vaschetto
Simon Tindemans
Somya Kasturi
Sota Shimizu
Sriram Gurusurthy
Stamatis Karnouskos
Stan Valtchev
Steffen Vogel
Stella Vetova
Stephen Oyewobi
Steven Wilcox
Sumit K. Chattopadhyay
Sumit Kumar Chattopadhyay
Sunil Aryal
Taegeun Kim
Tan Dayu
Tao Xu
Tapan Kumar Saha

Chaojie Li	Kim Fung Tsang	T.-C. Poon
Chao Yang	Kimiko Motonaka	Teresa Orłowska-Kowalska
Chelali Fatma zohra	Kim Ji-Won	Thilo Sauter
Cheng Gong	Kodjo Agbossou	Thomas Strasser
Cheng-Jen Chen	Koji Makino	Tianyu Liu
Chen Peng	Kosei Shinoda	To Ching Yi
Christian A. Rojas	Krisztián Samu	Tomasz Gajowik
Christof Brandauer	Kuan Li	Tomasz Kozacki
Christos Koulamas	Kunwu Zhang	Tomoyoshi Shimobaba
Chris Yu	Kuo-Hsien Hsia	Tomoyuki Shimono
Concettina Buccella	Laksono Kurnianggoro	Tony Lee
Daisuke Chugo	Lang Ma	Toshiaki Tsuji
Dan Chen	Lei Ding	Toshiyuki Murakami
Daniel Depernet	Lei Shu	T. W. Ching
Daniel Ramotsoela	Lei Xu	Vahid Hosseinnezhad
Dan Zhao	Liangcai Cao	Valeriy Vyatkin
David Dorrell	Liang Yi	Van Hoa Nguyen
Dawei Zhang	Lihua Wen	Van-Thanh Hoang
Demba Diallo	Lijing Dong	Venet Pascal
Denys I. Zaikin	Lisette Cupelli	Vicente Climente-Alarcon
Derui Ding	Liuming Zhou	Vicente Leite
Dianguo Xu	Long Xu	Victor Huang
Diego Arcos-Aviles	Luca Zarri	Vidhi Patel
Don Bombuwela	Lucia Lo Bello	Vincent Lanfranchi
Dong Han	Luis Ribeiro	Vincenzo Madonna
Donghyun Kim	Luis Romba Jorge	Wanchak Lenwari
Dong Yue	Makaino Yuki	Wangli He
Du Wei	Makoto Iwasaki	W. C. Lee
Ebrahim Amiri	Manuela Sechilariu	Wen Chen
Edmund Lam	Marcelo Pérez	Wenfeng Hu
Edoardo De Din	Marco Cupelli	Wenjiang Song
Emiliano Sisinni	Mariano Acone	Wenjing Zhou
Emil Levi	Marija Stevic	Wentao Xue
Emmanuel Ogbodo	Mario Perez-Tarragona	Wesley Roozing
Eric Semail	Mario Tinari	William Dai
Evangelos Rikos	Masaaki Shibata	William Leon Garcia
Fabio Immovilli	Matija Naglic	William Wang
Fabrice Locment	Mattia Cabiati	Xiangzhong Guan
Faisal Mehmood Shah	Meipin Liang	Xian-Ming Zhang
Fares Al Juheshi	Miadreza Shafie-Khah	Xiaobo Chi
Farhat Fnaiech	Michael Galea	Xiaodong Shao
Farzad Mohammadzadeh Shahir	Michael Grubmüller	Xiaofeng Yuan
Farzad Tahami	Miguel Martinez	Xiaoyang Gao
Filippo Savi	Mihai Cernat	Xiaohua Ge
Filip Prösl Andrén	Milica Savic	Xinchen Lin
Francesc Guinjoan	Milos Cvetkovic	Xing He
Frede Blaabjerg	Mingjin Yang	Xinghua Liu
Gábor Sziebig	Mingzhu Tang	Xing Huang
Gaetano Patti	Miran Rodic	Xinghuo Yu
Gang Huang	Moe Alahmad	Xinkai Chen
Gerard Capolino	Mohamed Ben-Marzouk	Xinli Shi
Gerhard P. Hancke	Mohamed Boussak	Xu Tao
Giampaolo Buticchi	Mohammad Meraj	Yabin Gao

Gianmaria Foglia
Gino Sorbello
Giorgio Pietrini
Giseok Sohn
Giulio De Donato
Guanghui Wen
Guoen Cao
Guohua Lv
Guoqiang Zhu
Hadi Tarzamni
Hadi Y. Kanaan
Haili Liang
Haitham Abu-Rub
Hamid Toliyat
Hani Vahedi
Han Wei
Hao Ding
Hao Liu
Haoze Luo
Hao Zhao
Harald Steiner
Hasan Kömürçügil
Hector Sarnago
Hideyuki Sawada
Hiroshi Hashimoto
Hiroshi Yoshikawa
Hlabishi Kobo
Holger Zipper
Hongbo Zhang
Hongtao Sun
Hongtian Chen
Hongxiang Hu
Hongyan Yang
Hossein Hajiadeghian
Hua Fan
Hualiang Guo

Mohammed Trabelsi
Mohannad Jabbar Mnati
Morgan Kiani
Muhammad Arshad
Muhammad Dwisnanto Putro
Muhammad Kamal Ahmad
Munir Merdan
Musa Ndiaye
Naeges Taran
Naoki Motoi
Nazih Moubayed
Negar Noroozi
Neha Dawar
Nicola Paone
Octavian Curea
Oliver Langthaler
Oratile Khutsoane
Oscar Lucia
Owen Chen
Pablo Acuña
Paolo Pescetto
Paulo Pires
Partha Banerjee
Pascal Venet
Paulo Leitão
Peter Palensky
Peter Wai Ming Tsang
Pierluigi Siano
Ping Luo
P N Tekwani
Prathan Buranasiri
Qiang Lu
Qian Zhang
Qichao Ma
Qihui Yu
Qingfeng Liu
Qing-Long Han

Yafei Wang
Yang Yu
Yanping Yang
Yaoyao Bao
Yaping Zhang
Yasuhiro Awatsuji
Yasutaka Fujimoto
Yen-Shin Lai
Yen-Ting Chen
Yihsin Ho
Yiwei Shi
Yongzheng Liu
Yoshihiro Maeda
Yousef Ibrahim
Y. T. Chow
Yubin Wang
Yuchen Jiang
Yu-Chu Tian
Yue Long
Yulkyoung Lee
Yulong Wang
Yul Yunazwin Nazaruddin
Yushan Liu
Yutaka Uchimura
Yu Zhang
Yuzhen Qin
Ze Li
Zengkai Liu
Zhangbing Zhou
Zhangming He
Zhao-Qing Liu
Zhengtao Liu
Zhen-Tao Liu
Zhenwei Cao
Zheyuan Cheng

Keynote Speeches

Power Systems and Smart Grids

Comparison of Battery Energy Storage Models for Small Signal Stability in Power System

Herlambang Setiadi, *Student Member, IEEE*, N. Mithulananthan, *Senior Member, IEEE*, Awan Uji Krismanto, *Student Member, IEEE*, and Rakibuzzaman Shah*, *Member, IEEE*

School of Information Technology & Electrical Engineering, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia

*School of Engineering and Technology, CQUniversity, Perth, Australia

E-mail: h.setiadi@uq.edu.au; mithulan@itee.uq.edu.au; a.krismanto@uq.edu.au; m.shah2@cqu.edu.au

Abstract— In the 21st century, integration of large-scale renewable energy sources (RESs) is increasing significantly. Although RESs provide clean and sustainable energy, they may adversely affect the performance of power system due to their distinct dynamic characteristics and intermittent power output. It is apparent that the integration of battery energy storage system (BESS) in power system is inevitable to accommodate more RESs. BESS could provide additional active and reactive power to the grid to overcome the energy shortfall. It is reported that the dynamics associated with BESS may significantly influence the low-frequency oscillation of the system. Therefore, it is important to analyze the various models of BESS for power system small signal stability studies. This paper investigates various models of BESS and their impacts on low-frequency oscillation for high penetration of RESs. Analysis has been conducted using Two-area power system for which the benchmark results are available for comparison purpose. Both the eigenvalue and time-domain analyses are employed in this paper to assess the impacts of various BESS models on low-frequency oscillation. From the simulation results, it is evident that the detailed model of BESS (i.e. 5th order model) could reflect the complete influence of BESS controller on low-frequency oscillation.

Keywords- BESS, damping ratio, eigenvalue, small signal stability, time domain simulation.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the last decade, integration of RESs in distribution and transmission level, as well as islanded power system, has become a reality around the world due to the requirement clean, affordable, and sustainable energy. Among numerous type of RESs, photovoltaic (PV) and wind are the promising sources to produce electricity with the appearance of advanced technology and their flexibility in operation.

Although RESs based on PV and wind are providing clean and environmentally friendly electricity, they might adversely influence stability of power systems. To transform natural energy into electricity, RESs use power electronics devices such as DC/AC, AC/DC and DC/DC converter. These devices could potentially deteriorate the stability performance, particularly small signal stability of power systems. Moreover, the uncertainty in the power output of RESs also contributes to the instability of power system.

To overcome the uncertainty and inertialess characteristics of RESs, integration of supplementary devices such as energy storage is considered. Battery energy storage system (BESS) has become more popular due to the appearance of high power

voltage sourced converter (VSC). In [1], the application of BESS to augment the frequency stability of the system is discussed. It has been reported that BESS provides a promising solution to stabilize the frequency of power systems [1].

Influence of BESS in small signal stability has been studied comprehensively in [2]. It is reported that the gain of the active power controller of BESS has a significant influence on local and inter-area electromechanical mode. It is also evident that the damping performance of the system increased significantly when BESS is installed at the load bus. It is apparent that the BESS could be used as an additional device in a large-scale PV for smoothing power output, frequency support, and voltage regulation. In addition, the small signal stability performance of the system is also enhanced [3].

From papers cited earlier, it is evident that BESS has recently received more attention for power system application as it offers promising result for enhancing the stability performance during high penetration of RESs. A number of BESS models floating around for power system stability studies. However, it is yet to find the suitable and adequate model for BESS for power system small-signal stability studies. Hence, this paper aims to investigate the following key aspects:

- Investigate the existing models of BESS for small signal stability study.
- Findout the impact of the different types of BESS model on small signal stability performance of power systems.
- Compare the performance of various BESS models for small signal stability analysis in present of high penetration of RESs.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section II provides mathematical modelling of PV, wind power plant, power system and small signal stability analysis. Section III briefly explains the influence of RESs and BESS integration on small signal stability analysis. Section IV provides mathematical modelling of BESS (e.g. steady-state model, third order model, fifth order model) for small signal stability study. Results are presented in Section V. Finally, the paper concludes with a discussion on results in Section VI.

II. DYNAMIC MODELS AND SMALL SIGNAL STABILITY

A. Model of WECS

A permanent magnet synchronous generator (PMSG) with back to back converter and the associated controller, as shown in Fig. 1, is used in this paper.




Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of WECS based on PMSG.

The mathematical representation of a dynamic model of wind energy conversion system (WECS) based on PMSG can be represented by (1)-(5). The detailed modeling procedure of WECS based on PMSG can be found in [4].

$$\frac{d\omega_g}{dt} = \frac{\tau_e - \tau_{w-g}}{J_{eq}} - \frac{B_m}{J_{eq}} \omega_g \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{di_d}{dt} = \frac{1}{L_{ds} + L_{is}} \left(-R_s i_d + \omega_e (L_{qs} + L_{is}) i_q + u_d \right) \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{di_q}{dt} = \frac{1}{L_{qs} + L_{is}} \left(-R_s i_q + \omega_e [(L_{ds} + L_{is}) i_q + \psi_f] + u_d \right) \quad (3)$$

$$\omega_e = p \omega_g \quad (4)$$

$$\tau_e = 1.5p \left((L_{ds} + L_{is}) i_d i_q + i_q \psi_f \right) \quad (5)$$

In (1)-(4), ω_g is angular speed (mechanical) of the generator, and B_m corresponds to damping coefficient. τ_{w-g} represents aerodynamic torque. While τ_e , and J_{eg} are electromechanical torque and equivalent inertia, respectively. Generator parameters corresponding to stator resistance (R_s), leakage inductances (L_{ld}, L_{lq}), generator inductances (L_{ds}, L_{dq}), electrical rotating speed (ω_e), magnetic flux (ψ_f) and poles (p) are considered in this model. The sub-index g described the parameter of generator side [4].

B. Model of PV plant

A dynamic model of PV plant comprises of PV array, converter, and associated controller. The converter is used as an interface between generated power from PV array and the network. Converter controller is responsible for controlling the power output of PV plant. Fig. 2 shows the dynamic control block diagram of large-scale PV plant. The main dynamics of the PV plant is converter dynamic and controller dynamic [3]. The converter can be represented as a set of the first order model corresponding to the aggregated model of the inverter and low pass filter dynamic. The converter controller consists

of converter limit, PI controller, and a reactive power controller [3]. MPPT of PV plant is a logic algorithm to tracking maximum power from PV array. Hence, there is no dynamic characteristics that can be captured from MPPT (can be assumed as constant value) [5]. Moreover, the DC parts of this modelled is assumed as a constant value due to the fast response. The complete model of large-scale PV plant can be found in [6].




Fig. 2. Schematic diagram of PV system.

C. Model of power system

Small signal model of power system comprises a set of linearized equations of nonlinear differential and algebraic equations (DAE). In this study, a multi-machine model will be developed to investigate the dynamic behavior of local power plant (local modes) and entire power system (local and inter-area modes), respectively. A nonlinear mathematical model of the power system can be captured by (6) and (7) [7].

$$\dot{x} = f(x, y, u) \quad (6)$$

$$0 = g(x, y) \quad (7)$$

In (6) and (7), x and y represent the state and algebraic variables, respectively. Machine and the associated controller is to be included in the differential equations while load flow and other network equations are included in algebraic equations [7].

D. Small signal stability

The complexity and nonlinearity of power systems increased significantly due to load uncertainty and integration of RESs. One important concern corresponds to the increment of RES penetration in the power system is the risk of low-frequency instability, which potentially results in partial or even full blackout. Low-frequency oscillation can be categorized as small disturbance rotor angle stability [8]. This stability is defined as the ability of power system to maintain stable condition after being subjected to a small disturbance [9]. Low-frequency oscillation can be classified as a local and global or inter-area, depending on the participation of various devices in power systems. The local mode has a frequency around 0.7 to 2 Hz. The inter-area mode is associated with generators in multiple areas. It is characterized by an oscillatory frequency in the range of 0.1 to 0.7 Hz [9].

Low-frequency oscillation can be examined by monitoring system eigenvalues of the reduced system state matrix. The eigenvalues will reflect various modes in the system, including

oscillatory and non-oscillatory modes. State space representation of the system can be determined using (8) by linearizing equations (6) and (7) [10].

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Delta \dot{x} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & \begin{matrix} D_{11} & D_{12} \\ D_{21} & J_{LF} \end{matrix} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta x \\ \Delta y \end{bmatrix} + E[\Delta u] \quad (8)$$

In (8), Δx is a vector of state variables. Δy represents a vector of algebraic variables. Δu corresponds to the input vector. J_{LF} is the load-flow Jacobian. A and B are plant and control or input matrices, respectively. While output and feedforward matrices are denoted by C and D , respectively. Furthermore, the reduced system state matrix of the entire system can be defined using (9) [10].

$$A_{sys} = \left(A - B \begin{bmatrix} D_{11} & D_{12} \\ D_{21} & J_{LF} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} C \right) \quad (9)$$

The eigenvalues of the system matrix carry the key information about the system stability, and they can be determined using (10) [10]:

$$\det(\lambda I - A_{sys}) \quad (10)$$

In equation number (10), I is the identity matrix and λ is eigenvalues of matrix A_{sys} . Furthermore, complex eigenvalue indicates frequency oscillation (f) and damping ratio (ξ) which can be described as given in (11), (12), and (13) [11].

$$\lambda_i = \sigma_i \pm j\omega_i \quad (11)$$

$$f_i = \frac{\omega_i}{2\pi} \quad (12)$$

$$\xi = \frac{-\sigma_i}{\sqrt{-\sigma_i^2 + -\omega_i^2}} \quad (13)$$

III. INFLUENCE OF BESS AND RES ON SMALL SIGNAL STABILITY

In recent years, the integration of RESs is increasing significantly due to the global warming and climate change concerns. The integration of RESs is resulting in emerging new problems in power system. As reported on [12], the low inertia or inertia-less characteristics of RESs could have a significant impact on frequency stability of power system. The high penetrations of RESs also have a negative influence on small signal stability. As reported in [13], the high penetration of PV plant resulting on deteriorating damping performance of power system due to the inertia-less characteristic of PV plant.

The impact of power system based on a wind turbine is reported [14]. In those papers, the integration of power system based on wind power plant could bring positive and negative influence on low-frequency oscillation. Furthermore, another majors problems of RESs is the uncertainty and intermittent power output [15]. The uncertainty characteristic of RESs could potentially bring negative influence on the damping performance of power systems [16]. For handling the

uncertainty on the power output of RESs additional devices such as BESS is essential.

BESS can be used for storing and releasing energy to the grid to provide frequency support [17]. As reported in [18], BESS has been implemented to maintain the frequency in Microgrid. Conversely, integration of BESS also influences small signal stability performance of power systems. As reported in [19], integration of BESS has a significant influence on the dynamic behavior of the power systems. Another research reported that by integrating BESS, the damping performance of the system is increased [20]. Furthermore, the variation of BESS controller could also introduce negative impact on the system regarding possible interaction with other elements in the power system [21]. Considering the above fact, integration of RESs and BESS has a significant influence on small signal stability performance of power system. Hence, it is essential to deeply study the significant impact of RESs and BESS. Moreover, determination of a suitable and adequate model of BESS is also important for capturing the dynamic behavior of BESS which would influence small signal stability performance of power systems.

IV. BESS MODEL FOR SMALL SIGNAL STABILITY

A. Steady state model (Type-1)

The steady state model of BESS can be assumed as an active and reactive power injection/absorption considering all four quadrants operations. Hence the energy variation of BESS in a given period can be expressed as (14) [22].

$$\begin{aligned} E_{BESS}(t) &= E_{BESS}(t-1) - \frac{P_{BESS}^{disch}(t)}{\eta_d} \Delta t \\ E_{BESS}(t) &= E_{BESS}(t-1) - \eta_c P_{BESS}^{ch}(t) \Delta t \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

In (14), E_{BESS} and Δt are the total energy stored in the BESS unit and the time duration. P_{BESS}^{disch} and P_{BESS}^{ch} are the charge and discharge power of the BESS unit. While η_d and η_c are discharge and charge efficiencies of the BESS [22]. In this model, the dynamics of BESS are neglected.

B. Third order model (type-2)

Fig. 3 shows the block diagram of BESS comprises of battery cells, converter, and the associated controller [23]. This is the dynamic model widely used for stability studies in power system, on particular, frequency stability of power system.

In this model, the battery cells are modelled into second order time delay and gain while the converter and the associated controller are modelled into the first-order model of gain and time delay. Since the model is initially developed for the frequency stability studies in power system, therefore, only the active power controller is used for this model.




Fig. 3. Block diagram of third order model of BESS [23].

$$\alpha_R = \frac{K_R}{1 + sT_R} (\alpha_R^* + K_M \Delta I_{BES}) \quad (17)$$

In (17), K_R and T_R are the converter loop gain and the firing angle time delay constant respectively. K_M and I_{BES} are used to stabilize the BESS under constant current operation so that BESS can release more power from batteries. The α_R^* can be described using (18) [24].

$$\alpha_R^* = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{Q_{BES}^*}{P_{BES}^*} \right) \quad (18)$$

In (18), P_{BES}^* and Q_{BES}^* are active and reactive power output of converter controller. The dynamic behavior of battery cells can be calculated using (19) and (20) [24].

$$V_{BOC} = \frac{R_{BP}}{1 + sR_{BP}C_{BP}} I_{BES} \quad (19)$$

$$V_{B1} = \frac{R_{B1}}{1 + sR_{B1}C_{B1}} I_{BES} \quad (20)$$

In (19) and (20), R_{BP} and C_{BP} are used to describe the self-discharging of a battery. While R_{B1} and C_{B1} are the representation of energy and voltage during charging and discharging. Moreover, V_{BOC} and V_{B1} corresponded to battery open-circuit voltage, and battery voltage [24]. Fig. 4 illustrates the block diagram of BESS dynamic model.

C. Fifth order model (type-3)

The fifth order model of BESS was first introduced by C Liu in 1995 [24]. The model consist of battery cells, converter and power control dynamic model. The battery cell is modeled into the second order model, while the converter dynamic is modeled into first order model. Furthermore, the power control dynamic is consist of active and reactive model and each controller is presented as first order model with gain constant. The main advantage of this model is the easy implementation of the BESS control system than steady-state or third order model. The active power controller of BESS can be calculated using (15) [24]:

$$\Delta P_{BES} = \frac{K_{BP}}{1 + sT_{BP}} \Delta \omega \quad (15)$$


In (15), K_{BP} and T_{BP} are the control loop gain and rotor speed measurement device time constant respectively. The changes in the reactive power of the converter can be determined by (16) [24].

$$\Delta Q_{BES} = \frac{K_{BQ}}{1 + sT_{BQ}} \Delta V_t \quad (16)$$

In (16), K_{BQ} and T_{BQ} are the control loop gain and terminal voltage measurement device time constant respectively. The firing angle of the converter can be calculated using (17) [24].

V. SIMULATION RESULTS

This section aims to investigate the impact of different models of BESS on small signal stability considering high RES integration. The analysis has been carried out using MATLAB/SIMULINK. The multi-machine power system is considered in this research. Two area system popularly known as ‘‘Kundur’’ power system has been used. A modification has been made to the system by replacing one synchronous generator with 350 MW WECS aggregated model (wind farm) and 350 MW PV plant. Furthermore 100 MW BESS has been installed in load bus in area 1 as shown in Fig 5. Eigenvalue analysis has been conducted to identify the impact of various BESS models on the small signal stability of the system. Later,



time-domain simulations are used to validate the results.




Fig. 5. AC test system with renewable energy generation and BESS.

Table 1 shows the comparison of electromechanical mode with different BESS models. In general, the BESS installation results in improvement of the system damping. It is evident from Table I that integration of BESS in load bus in area 1 makes the eigenvalues of local mode area 1 and inter-area mode move towards the left half plane, indicating enhancement of system damping and dynamic response. This movement is due to additional power from BESS to the load which reduces the stress on other synchronous machines.

Table 1. Eigenvalue comparison of the cases

Cases	Local mode 1	Local mode 2	Inter-area
Without BESS	-0.28+6.58i	-0.34+7.01i	-0.03+3.03i
With Type-1 BESS	-0.29+6.29i	-0.34+7.01i	-0.04+3.03i
With Type-2 BESS	-3.73+4.48i	-0.34+7.01i	-0.29+3.41i
With Type-3 BESS	-0.3+6.3i	-0.34+7.01i	-0.04+2.91i

The damping performance of the system with different BESS models is illustrated in Fig. 6. It can be observed that Type-1 BESS could enhance the damping performance of the system. It is also found that the damping performance of the system with Type-2 BESS increase significantly from 0.0429 to 0.6397 for the local mode in area 1. Furthermore, the inter-area mode is also enhanced significantly from 0.0105 to 0.0855. It is also observed that that Type-3 BESS could enhance the damping performance of the system gradually from 0.0429 to 0.0474 for the local mode in area 1. The increased damping is also observed in inter-area mode (from 0.0429 to 0.0474) when fifth-order model BESS utilized in bus load area 1. As can be seen from the results different model of BESS give different results and it is important to choose the most appropriate model for small signal stability considering BESS capabilities and dynamics. It was also

observed that regardless of the model proximity of BESS play an important role in the system dynamic indicated by the damping performance on local mode area 2 remains in its position.




Fig. 6. Damping comparison of different scenarios.

To validate the eigenvalue analysis, time domain simulation has been carried out. A small perturbation is made in the system by giving 0.05 pu step input of load. Fig 7 show the rotor speed of generator 1. As observed from Fig. 7, a system with type-2 BESS and type-3 BESS experienced lower rotor speed oscillations than a system with the steady-state BESS model. Table 2 illustrate the detailed overshoot and settling time comparison of different scenarios. From table 2, it can be seen that the system experienced the worst overshoot and settling time when Type-1 BESS is considered. Furthermore, When BESS is represented by Type-3 model, the magnitude of the oscillation can be decreased (i.e. damped).

From the results, it is found that the different model of BESS provides different results. It is evident that the dynamic presentation of BESS influences the system dynamic. Furthermore, Type-2 BESS only considers active power and this model usually used for load frequency control study [23]. Moreover, the advantages of fifth order model is easy to add and modify the controller compare to the third order model. Hence, it is suggested that fifth order model is more suitable for small signal stability study [25].




Fig. 7. The oscillatory condition of rotor speed G1.

Table 2. Detailed features of overshoot and settling time G1

Cases	Steady state model	Third order model	Fifth order model
Overshoot	-0.002412	-0.001192	-0.00206
Settling time	>40	19.53	>40

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, the various BESS system models and their impacts on small signal stability performance of power system are investigated. Three different BESS models (Type-1, Type-2, and Type-3) are integrated into the test system to examine and compare the effect on the system dynamic performance. From the simulation results, it is found that the integration of BESS at load bus could enhance the damping performance of the system. It is also found that different dynamic representation has a different impact on the small signal stability performance of power system. Hence, for small signal stability study, the authors suggested to utilize Type-3 BESS rather than Type-2 BESS due to more detail representation.

The time domain simulation is used to validate the eigenvalue analysis results. Both the time domain and eigenvalue analysis results are agreeing on each other. Further research is needed to investigate more detail about what dynamic of Type-3 BESS is influenced in the system performance. Utilizing additional power oscillation damping (POD) controller in BESS for enhancing the small signal stability performance of power system can also considered as further research.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The first author would like to thank the Ministry of Finance of Indonesian Government for the Endowment Fund of Education Scholarship pursue Doctoral degree at The University of Queensland, Australia.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Prajapati and A. Parmar, "Multi-area Load Frequency Control by various conventional controller using Battery Energy Storage System," in *2016 International Conference on Energy Efficient Technologies for Sustainability (ICEETS)*, 2016, pp. 467-472.
- [2] H. Setiadi, A. U. Krismanto, and N. Mithulananthan, "Influence of BES system on local and inter-area oscillation of power system with high penetration of PV plants," in *Applied System Innovation (ICASI), 2017 International Conference on*, 2017, pp. 1-4.
- [3] H. Setiadi, A. U. Krismanto, and N. Mithulananthan, "Enabling BES in Large PV Plant for Stability Enhancement on Power Systems with High RES," in *2017 IEEE Innovative Smart Grid Technologies-Asia (ISGT-Asia)*, Auckland, New Zealand, 2017.
- [4] Y. Zou and J. He, "Comprehensive modeling, simulation and experimental validation of Permanent Magnet Synchronous generator wind power system," in *2016 IEEE/IAS 52nd Industrial and Commercial Power Systems Technical Conference (I&CPS)*, 2016, pp. 1-9.
- [5] M. El-Shibini and H. Rakha, "Maximum power point tracking technique," in *Electrotechnical Conference, 1989. Proceedings. Integrating Research, Industry and Education in Energy and Communication Engineering', MELECON'89., Mediterranean, 1989*, pp. 21-24.
- [6] K. Clark, N. W. Miller, and R. Walling, "Modelling of GE Solar Photovoltaic Plants for Grid Studies," General Electrical International, Inc., Schenectady, NY2010.
- [7] D. Lastomo, H. Setiadi, and M. R. Djalal, "Optimization of SMES and TCSC using particle swarm optimization for oscillation mitigation in a multi machines power system," *Journal of Mechatronics, Electrical Power, and Vehicular Technology*, vol. 8, pp. 11-21, 2017.
- [8] H. Setiadi and K. O. Jones, "Power System Design using Firefly Algorithm for Dynamic Stability Enhancement," *Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science*, vol. 1, pp. 446-455, 2016.
- [9] A. U. Krismanto and N. Mithulananthan, "Identification of Modal Interaction and Small Signal Stability in Autonomous Microgrid Operation," *IET Generation Transmission & Distribution*, 2017.
- [10] P. W. Sauer and M. Pai, "Power system dynamics and stability," *Urbana*, 1998.
- [11] D. Lastomo, H. Setiadi, M. Faisal, A. Ashfahani, G. Bangga, G. Hutomo, et al., "The effects of energy storages on small signal stability of a power system," in *2017 International Seminar on Intelligent Technology and Its Applications (ISITIA)*, 2017, pp. 52-57.
- [12] M. Nahid Al, N. Modi, and R. Yan, "Low inertia power systems: Frequency response challenges and a possible solution," in *2016 Australasian Universities Power Engineering Conference (AUPEC)*, 2016, pp. 1-6.
- [13] R. Shah, N. Mithulananthan, and R. C. Bansal, "Oscillatory stability analysis with high penetrations of large-scale photovoltaic generation," *Energy Conversion and Management*, vol. 65, pp. 420-429, 1// 2013.
- [14] S. Lamichhane and N. Mithulananthan, "Possible impact of large scale wind energy integration on small signal stability," in *2015 IEEE PES Asia-Pacific Power and Energy Engineering Conference (APPEEC)*, 2015, pp. 1-5.
- [15] L. E. Jones, *Renewable energy integration: practical management of variability, uncertainty, and flexibility in power grids*: Academic Press, 2014.
- [16] A. Krismanto, N. Mithulananthan, and I. Kamwa, "Oscillatory Stability Assessment of Microgrid in Autonomous Operation with Uncertainties," *IET Renewable Power Generation*, 2017.
- [17] T. Kerdphol, K. Fuji, Y. Mitani, M. Watanabe, and Y. Qudaih, "Optimization of a battery energy storage system using particle swarm optimization for stand-alone microgrids," *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, vol. 81, pp. 32-39, 2016.
- [18] T. Kerdphol, Y. Qudaih, and Y. Mitani, "Battery energy storage system size optimization in microgrid using particle swarm optimization," in *IEEE PES Innovative Smart Grid Technologies, Europe*, 2014, pp. 1-6.
- [19] H. Setiadi, N. Mithulananthan, and M. J. Hossain, "Impact of Battery Energy Storage Systems on Electromechanical Oscillations in Power Systems," in *2017 IEEE Power and Energy General Meeting*, Chicago, USA, 2017.
- [20] X. Sui, Y. Tang, H. He, and J. Wen, "Energy-Storage-Based Low-Frequency Oscillation Damping Control Using Particle Swarm Optimization and Heuristic Dynamic Programming," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 29, pp. 2539-2548, 2014.
- [21] H. Setiadi, A. U. Krismanto, N. Mithulananthan, and M. Hossain, "Modal interaction of power systems with high penetration of renewable energy and BES systems," *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, vol. 97, pp. 385-395, 2018.
- [22] D. Q. Hung, N. Mithulananthan, and R. Bansal, "Integration of PV and BES units in commercial distribution systems considering energy loss and voltage stability," *Applied Energy*, vol. 113, pp. 1162-1170, 2014.
- [23] A. Adrees, H. Andami, and J. V. Milanović, "Comparison of dynamic models of battery energy storage for frequency regulation in power system," in *2016 18th Mediterranean Electrotechnical Conference (MELECON)*, 2016, pp. 1-6.
- [24] C.-F. Lu, C.-C. Liu, and C.-J. Wu, "Dynamic modelling of battery energy storage system and application to power system stability," *IEE Proceedings-Generation, Transmission and Distribution*, vol. 142, pp. 429-435, 1995.
- [25] S. Chandra, D. F. Gayme, and A. Chakraborty, "Coordinating wind farms and battery management systems for inter-area oscillation damping: A frequency-domain approach," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 29, pp. 1454-1462, 2014.